ilding	Survey Unit	Reviewer	Overall score (0, 1, or 2)	Box Plots	Q-Q Piots	Rounds of excevetion	Gamma scan or static concerns	On vs offsite lab	Time Series	Signs of falsifying (1=Yes, 0=no)	Signs of falsification summary	Failure to follow workplan (1=Y, 0=N	Signs of failure to follow workplan	Overal score (0 to 2)	Comments - Other	Followup needed, e.g. questions for Navy	Recomm end for PCA (1=Y, 0=N)	Grey area - talk to group	CDPH Recommendation
384	: - SU 20	TJ/KB	NA	NA	NĀ	of soil removed, Post	Many measurements throughout the combined site exceeded the investigation limit of 3-sigma plus background. Summary statistics were not provided in the FSSR for individual survey units	2-6 month delay in offsite analysis, FSS Samples 45-72 were collected 10/20/2009 dates but reported 38/21/2009 in FSSR Report	NA	1	Delay in analysis of some samples 2 to 6 months which raises concern of Chain of Custody. Bias samples for Ac- 228, K.40, and Bi-214 show less variability than FSS or characterization samples, but there were only 7 samples. FSS_SYS for Bi-214 have somewhat less variability than one might expect (range ,2 to 1.0 pCs/§).	1	No instrument information, no calibration due date, no static surveyor name, and no approved surveyor name was reported for this survey	NA	Behind Building 364, Peanut spill	Ask the Navy regarding delay in analysis	NA.	NA.	Resomple
364	SU22	7.1	NA.	NA AM	NA.	Cs-137 exceeded releases criteria, 44 soil samples collected, 31 cubic yards were excavated. SU22 consolidated into trench unit 153	No static data for the FSSR. Summary statistics for this SU not provided in the FSSR.	No offisite results were reported as part of the charaterization samples	NA NA	Ü	Completely excavated due to T	2	NA NA	NA	Building Behind 364, No FSS soil samples, excavated and consolidated to TU 153	NA NA	NA	NA	NFA
364	SU 23	, CT	NA -	NA.	NA NA	Ra-226 remediated from one location. 29.75 cubic yards were excavated.	Scan and statics provided, no instrument or calibration information	FSS sample 48 is reported to be counted on 3/11/2010 which is one year later than the rest of the FSS samples. Samples 48-65 were collected 2/4/2009 however dates reported as 2/5/2009 in the FSSR, except sample 65 reported as being collected on 3/6/2009.	₩A	4	Delay in analysis of sample 41 by a year		Scan and static date not provided, no calibration and instrument information	Ni A	Behind Building %64, Peanut Spill	Explain the delay in so analysis	NA NA	24	Resample
				NA	FSS sample: concentration for K-40 is different compared to Biased and titles armotes titles sample	Two rounds of excavation as Rad-226 exceeded the release a criteria. 142.75 cubic		FSS 72-89 were collected 2/4/2009. However in the report the date of collection is 2/5/2009 for all samples, except 75, 84, 85, 86, 88 which were reported to be collected on 2/6/2009. Delay time in sending samples to the offsite lab and some samples were received at the offsite lab 2-3 months after sample collection.	Ac-228, 8i-214, K-40 Lo for blased	1	K-40 concentration of the biased samples low compare to systematic and characterization samples. Mascans exceeded 3 sigma plubackground.	ny	No summary statistics on stati makerements No summary	na.	Behind Building 364, Peanut Spill	Expiain the delay in s analysis	oil o		Resample
364	SU 24		NA .			Two rounds of excavation as Ra-226 exceeded release criteria, 32.5 cubic	above 3 sigma, no instrument, calibration due date, approved	55-72 collected 2/4/2009, Collection	S Ac-228, Bi-214, X-40 ic for biased samples		Discrepancy in the date of collection in the report. Also delay time in sending the samples to offsite lab by 2-months.	76	statistics on static and scan measurements, n instrument information, no calibration due date, no static surveyor name, n approved survey name was report for this survey	0 2	K-40 on avg higher than other bidgs in Patcel G. Behind Building 364, Peanut Spill	Explain the delay in a analysis	ioil		ikesample
364 364	SU 25			NA NA	NA NA	2 rounds of excavatio Cs-137 exceeded release critria, 69 cut yards of soil remediated, SU-26 moved to SU-30	n, Many scans above the release pic criteria of background plus 3 sigma. All static below release	date in report 2/6/2009 date in report 2/6/2009	NA NA	1	Delay time sending samples the offsite by 2-6 months. 137 exceeded release crite	Os-	no instrument calibration, instrument information, me calibration date, static surveyor, r summary static provided scan ai static	10 5	Behind S uikting 364, Peanut Spill	Explain the delay in analysis	soil		NFA
364				Unusur small variance FSS sample One out was identific	e of The grap lier shows for variabilin ad compared	w Y to	No gamma scan data observations, no gamma statics observations	on vs offsite iab consistent, FSS Sample 1-15, 17, 18 collected on 12/6/2008, #16 collected next day, 12/6/2008, is sending samples to the offsite lab. Some samples were received at the offsite lab two to six months after sample collection. Some results were finalized 18 months after initial counting.		***************************************	K-40 ranges from -6.75 to 3: survey unit where Peanut Site occured no bias samp taken and no remediation samples were collected muthan one day and also dela analysis of soil samples	pill es 1, ore y in	No Scan or stat I data providen		Site of the Peanut spill, 2-6 months later delay, missing scan data from the FSSR, Form said "no remedial action" and also "peanut spill", Unusuall low variability in Bi-214 data (range is about .1 to pCi/g). Given the low variability in Bi-214, the fat that one FSS_SYS sample was collected 1 day late and that is the same day when the others were counted, and the delay sending samples to the oil site lab.	iv J	soll		Resample.